

Fig. 1. Influence of pH on the polymerization of fibrin monomers in 1 M NaBr; abscissa, photomultiplier current in  $\mu\text{A}$ ; ordinate, time in min;  $\circ$ , application of pressure of 2,500  $\text{kg}/\text{cm}^2$ ;  $\times$ , release of pressure. The solutions were initially depolymerized by application of pressure.

of protein on the light scattering changes under pressure is shown in Fig. 2. Turbid clots<sup>8</sup>, prepared by 20 fold dilution of 10 mg/ml. fibrin monomer solutions in phosphate buffer ( $I=0.2$ ,  $\text{pH}=6.0$ ), depolymerized equally well under a pressure of 2,500  $\text{kg}/\text{cm}^2$ .

Because the polymerization is very pH sensitive and the pH of the acetate buffer decreases under pressure (about 0.4 pH units at 3,000  $\text{kg}/\text{cm}^2$ ) it was tested whether

the depolymerizing effect of pressure could be ascribed to pH changes. The experiments were therefore repeated in a 0.05 M ammonium acetate buffer (decrease of about 0.1 pH units at 3,000  $\text{kg}/\text{cm}^2$ ) and in 0.05 M MES (N-morpholino-ethane-sulphonic acid) buffer (increase of about 0.25 pH units at 3,000  $\text{kg}/\text{cm}^2$ ). In all cases an apparently complete depolymerization was obtained at 2,500  $\text{kg}/\text{cm}^2$ .

Finally, it was tested whether the pressure effect could be ascribed to an alteration of the protein during the preparation of monomers. Native plasma, diluted with buffer to a concentration of 1 mg fibrinogen/ml. and clotted with thrombin, behaved identically under pressure.

On the basis of the complete reversibility of the depolymerization and polymerization reactions and in view of the fact that the phenomenon is the same in buffers with different pH dependence on pressure, we conclude that the polymerization is accompanied by an increase in volume. This volume increase is not explained by the hypothesis of hydrogen bonding between histidyl and tyrosyl or  $\epsilon$ -amino groups as the only polymerization mechanism for this reaction in the pH range investigated should be accompanied by a volume decrease (resulting from hydrogen bonding and from electrostriction around the liberated protons).

In fact, our results indicate that during polymerization an additional interaction occurs with a significant




Fig. 2. Influence of concentration of protein on the polymerization in 1 M NaBr, pH 6.1. Abscissa, photomultiplier current in  $\mu\text{A}$ ; ordinate, time in min;  $\circ$ , application of pressure of 2,500  $\text{kg}/\text{cm}^2$ ;  $\times$ , release of pressure. The solutions were initially depolymerized by application of pressure. Dilution 1/1 = 10 mg fibrin monomers per ml.

NATU

ANS

cessfi

per l

press

will l

We

speci

mans

his t

Labo

Univ

Receiv

1 Laki

2 Don

3 Stur

4 End

5 Stev

6 Jose

7 Blor

8 Ferr

9 Kau

10 Web

11 Dod

In v

Cha

Hu

ELEC

endo

mens

epith

term

bask

appe

have

28 d

reach

disap

not

in ea

Th

differ

endo

an i

mors

opag

arou

also

resul

Pr

logic

were

in th

micr

to sh

of p

show

epith

metr

mim

to p

cultu

We

diffe

cultu

Sp

wom

men

micr